

# 平面凸ビリヤードの不变円の微分可能性について

印南信宏（新潟大）

ABSTRACT. Let  $C$  be a convex closed curve of class  $C^2$  in the plane. We think the domain bounded by  $C$  as a billiard table. We state the following. If a convex billiard is integrable, the set of points with irrational slopes make invariant circles of class  $C^1$  in the phase space. If the sets of points with rational slopes make invariant circles  $K$ , then the invariant circles  $K$  are of class  $C^1$ . Otherwise, we find closed curves of class  $C^1$  in the union of invariant circles with the same slope.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C$  be a simple closed and strictly convex curve of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , with length  $L$  in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbf{E}$  and let  $c : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}$  be its representation with respect to the arclength, namely  $|\dot{c}(s)| = 1$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  where  $\mathbf{R}$  is the set of all real numbers. Let  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a sequence of points in  $C$  where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of all integers. We say that  $x$  is a *billiard ball trajectory* if the angle between the tangent vector  $A$  to  $C$  at  $x_i$  and the oriented segment  $T(x_{i-1}, x_i)$  from  $x_{i-1}$  to  $x_i$  is equal to the one between  $A$  and  $T(x_i, x_{i+1})$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

A billiard ball trajectory  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in  $C$  is represented by a sequence  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  of real numbers such that  $x_j = c(s_j)$  and  $s_j < s_{j+1} < s_j + L$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and the sequence  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  will be considered to be a configuration  $\{(j, s_j)\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  in the configuration space  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ . A configuration  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  for  $x$  is determined uniquely up to the difference  $pL$  ( $p \in \mathbf{Z}$ ).

Let  $x_0, x_1 \in C$  and  $(x_0, x_1, x_2)$  the billiard ball trajectory. Let  $\theta_0$  (resp.,  $\theta_1$ ) be the angle between the segment  $T(x_0, x_1)$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  (resp.,  $T(x_1, x_2)$ ) and the tangent vector to  $C$  at  $x_0$  (resp.,  $x_1$ ). Set  $u_0 = \cos \theta_0$  and  $u_1 = \cos \theta_1$ . We call  $\Omega = C \times (-1, 1)$  the *phase space* which is the set of all pairs  $(x, u)$  for  $x \in C$  and  $u \in (-1, 1)$ . Define a *billiard ball map*  $\varphi : \Omega \longrightarrow \Omega$  as  $\varphi(x_0, u_0) = (x_1, u_1)$ . The billiard ball map is an example of a monotone twist map ([12]). Let  $\bar{x} = (x_0, u_0) \in \Omega$  and  $\varphi^j(\bar{x}) = (x_j, u_j)$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, the sequence

---

*Date:* October 26, 2011.

$x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a billiard ball trajectory. Any billiard ball trajectory is given in this way.

A convex billiard is said to be *integrable* if a subset of full measure of the phase space is foliated by closed curves invariant under the billiard ball map  $\varphi$ . The billiards in circles and ellipses are integrable. G. Birkhoff's conjecture states that the only examples of integrable billiards are circular and elliptic billiards ([5]). M. Bialy ([4]) has given a partial answer of the conjecture, proving that  $C$  is a circle if  $\Omega$  is foliated by  $\varphi$ -invariant continuous closed curves not null-homotopic in  $\Omega$ . M. Wojtkowski ([13]) proved that  $C$  is a circle if the domain bounded by  $C$  is foliated by smooth caustics to which almost every billiard ball trajectories are tangent. E. Y. Amiran ([1]) proved that when  $C$  is a strictly convex bounded planar domain with a smooth boundary and is integrable near the boundary, its boundary is necessarily an ellipse. As was stated in [4] Bialy's theorem corresponds to a theorem of E. Hopf ([9]) concerning Riemannian metrics on tori without conjugate points. N. Inami ([10]) extended Bialy's theorem to the higher dimensional case and the nonpositive curvature case as L. Green ([7]) did.

We say that a  $\varphi$ -invariant continuous closed curve in  $\Omega$  is an *invariant circle* if it is not null-homotopic. If the billiard table is of class  $C^2$ , then the map  $\varphi$  in  $\Omega$  is an area preserving twist map of class  $C^1$ , and Birkhoff's theorem ensures only that the invariant circles are Lipschitz and any invariant circle is the graph of a Lipschitz function,  $\{G(s) = (c(s), u(s)) : 0 \leq s \leq L\}$  ([8], [12]). N. Inami ([11]) discussed the differentiability of invariant circles by using the geometry of geodesics due to H. Bussumann ([6]) which was reconstructed in the configuration space  $\mathbf{X}$  by V. Bangert ([2], [3]). In this note his results applies to an integrable convex billiard and we note the differentiability of invariant circles.

The notion of slope is useful to classify the invariant circles. Let  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be a billiard ball trajectory and let  $a(x_j, x_{j+1})$  be the arclength of the subarc of  $C$  from  $x_j$  to  $x_{j+1}$  measured with the positive orientation of  $C$ . We define the *slope*  $\alpha(x)$  of  $x$  as

$$\alpha(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a(x_j, x_{j+1}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{n}.$$

where  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a configuration for  $x$ . Let  $\alpha(\tilde{x})$  denote the slope of the billiard ball trajectory determined by  $\tilde{x}$  for  $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$ . Set

$$\Omega(a) = \{\bar{x} \in \Omega \mid \alpha(\bar{x}) = aL\}.$$

If  $f$  is an invariant circle in  $\Omega$ , then  $\alpha(\bar{x})$  are constant for all  $\bar{x} \in f$ , and, therefore,  $f \subset \Omega(a)$  for some  $a$  with  $0 < a < 1$ . We say that a closed curve  $f$  in  $\Omega$  not null-homotopic is a *circle with constant slope* if  $\alpha(\bar{x})$  are constant for all  $\bar{x} \in f$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $C$  be a simple closed convex curve of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , with positive curvature  $\kappa$  and length  $L$ . Assume that its convex billiard is integrable. Let  $a$  be a real with  $0 < a < 1$ . Then the following are true.*

- (1) *When  $a$  is irrational,  $\Omega(a)$  is the invariant circle  $f$  with slope  $aL$  in  $\Omega$  such that the graph  $G_f(s)$  of  $\Omega(a)$  is of class  $C^1$ .*
- (2) *When  $a$  is rational, then the number of the invariant circles with slope  $aL$  in  $\Omega$  is either one or two. If there exists just one invariant circle  $f$  with slope  $aL$ , then the graph  $G_f(s)$  of  $f$  is of class  $C^1$ . Otherwise, there exist two closed curves  $G_1(s) = (c(s), u_1(s))$  and  $G_2(s) = (c(s), u_2(s))$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq i(q)L$ , of class  $C^1$  with slope  $aL$  which are not null-homotopic where  $i(q) = 1 + (1 - (-1)^q)/2$  for  $a = p/q$  reduced to its lowest terms. Moreover,  $G_t(s) = (c(s), \max\{u_1(s), u_2(s)\})$  and  $G_b(s) = (c(s), \min\{u_1(s), u_2(s)\})$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq L$ , are invariant circles with slope  $aL$ .*

If an invariant circle  $f$  is of class  $C^1$ , then the caustic  $K$  made from  $f$  is a continuous curve in the domain bounded by  $C$ . Here we say that a closed continuous curve  $K$  is a *caustic* if  $K$  has the following property. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $C$  and let  $T(x_0, x_1)$  be a segment tangent to  $K$ . If  $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is the billiard ball trajectory determined by  $T(x_0, x_1)$ , then  $T(x_j, x_{j+1})$  is a segment tangent to  $K$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Without  $C^2$  differentiability condition on  $C$  the caustics are not continuous, in general.

## 2. FOLIATION BY ASYMPTOTES AND PARALLELS

The contents in this section are based on the results in [2], [3] and [11] and, therefore, we need not to prove the lemmas here again. We work in the configuration space  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  be the configuration of a billiard ball trajectory  $x = (x_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$ . We say that  $s = (s_j)_{i \leq j \leq k}$  is a *segment* from  $s_i$  to  $s_k$  in  $\mathbf{X}$  if

$$\sum_{j=i}^{k-1} |c(s_{j+1}) - c(s_j)| = \max \left\{ \sum_{j=i}^{k-1} |c(t_{j+1}) - c(t_j)| \right\}$$

where  $t = (t_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is any configuration such that  $t_i = s_i$ ,  $t_k = s_k$  and  $t_j < t_{j+1} < t_j + L$ . We say that  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a *straight line* in  $\mathbf{X}$

if the restriction of  $s$  to the interval  $i < j < k$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a segment for every  $i < k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We say that a straight line  $s$  is (*positively*) *asymptotic* to a straight line  $t$  if the sequences of segments from  $s_i$  to  $t_k$  converges to the sub-ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i}$  of  $s$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We say that a straight line  $s$  is a *parallel* to a straight line  $t$  if the sequences of segments from  $s_i$  to  $t_k$  converge to the sub-ray  $s = (s_j)_{j \geq i}$  and  $s = (s_j)_{j \leq i}$  of  $s$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $k \rightarrow -\infty$ , respectively, for every  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . In general, the asymptote and parallel relation are not symmetric. A simple modification of the arguments in [11] proves the following.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous curve in  $\Omega$  with its graph  $G_f(s) = (c(s), u(s))$ ,  $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ . Assume that the configurations  $s(\bar{x})$  for all  $\bar{x} \in f$  are straight lines and they are asymptotic to each other. Then, the graph  $G_f(s)$  is of class  $C^1$ .*

The continuity of the curvature of  $C$  plays an important role in the proof of Lemma 2 as was seen in [11]. The situation in Lemma 2 appears in the case of irrational slopes.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $a$  be an irrational number with  $0 < a < 1$ . Let  $f$  be a invariant circle in  $\Omega$  with slope  $aL$ . Let  $s(\bar{x})$  be the configuration corresponding to  $\bar{x} \in f$ . Then, all  $s(\bar{x})$  are parallels to each other, and, therefore,  $f$  is of class  $C^1$ .*

Next we treat the case that  $a$  is rational. Let  $a = p/q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are mutually prime integers. In this case there exists a periodic straight line  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  with period  $(q, p)$ , i.e.,  $s_{j+q} = s_j + pL$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  be the set of those parameters  $s_0$  such that  $s = (s_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  is a periodic straight line with period  $(q, p)$  and  $B = \mathbf{R} \setminus A$ . The set  $B$  is either an empty set or a union of open intervals  $(b^k, t^k)$ ,  $k \in I$  where  $I$  ia an index set. If  $A$  is a discrete set, then we have  $t^k = b^{k+1}$  for all  $k \in I$ . Let  $u^k = (u^k_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  and  $v^k = (v^k_j)_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}$  be periodic straight lines with period  $(q, p)$  such that  $u^k_0 = b^k$  and  $v^k_0 = t^k$ . For every  $s_0 \in (b^k, t^k) \subset B$  there exists two straight lines with slope  $aL$ . One  $\bar{s}$  is the positive asymptote to  $v^k$  through  $s_0$  and the other  $\underline{s}$  is the positive asymptote to  $u^k$  through  $s_0$ . Then,  $\bar{s}$  and  $\underline{s}$  is the negative asymptotes to  $u^k$  and  $v^k$  through  $s_0$ , respectively. Let  $S(u^k, v^k) \subset \mathbf{X}$  be the strip bounded by two straight lines  $u^k$  and  $v^k$ . We have two foliations  $\overline{F}_k = \{\bar{s} \mid s_0 \in (b^k, t^k)\}$  and  $\underline{F}_k = \{\underline{s} \mid s_0 \in (b^k, t^k)\}$  of the interior of the strip  $S(u^k, v^k)$  by parallels for each  $k \in I$ . Suppose that  $S(u^{k+1}, v^{k+1})$  is next to  $S(u^k, v^k)$ . Let  $F_0$  be the set of all periodic straight lines with period  $(q, p)$  through  $s_0 \in A$ . Then, each set of straight lines  $F_1 = \dots \cup \underline{F}_{k-1} \cup \overline{F}_k \cup \underline{F}_{k+1} \cup \dots \cup F_0$  and  $F_2 = \dots \cup$

$\overline{F}_{k-1} \cup \underline{F}_k \cup \overline{F}_{k+1} \cup \dots \cup F_0$  gives a foliation of  $\mathbf{X}$  by parallels to each other in the interior of each strip  $S(u^k, v^k)$ . Moreover, all straight lines in  $\overline{F}_k \cup \underline{F}_{k+1}$  are asymptotic to the positive  $v^k$  and so to the negative  $v^k$  are all straight lines in  $\underline{F}_k \cup \overline{F}_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in I$ . These foliations correspond to closed curves not null homotopic in  $\Omega$  of class  $C^1$ . The curves cover  $C$  in  $\Omega$  twice if  $q$  is odd.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a = p/q$  be a rational number with  $0 < a < 1$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are mutually prime integers. Let  $s(\bar{x})$  be the configuration in  $\mathbf{X}$  corresponding to  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ . If  $s(\bar{x})$  are periodic straight lines with period  $(q, p)$  for all  $\bar{x} \in \Omega(a)$ , then  $\Omega(a)$  is an invariant circle of class  $C^1$ . Otherwise, there are two foliations of  $\mathbf{X}$  which correspond to closed curves not null-homotopic and of class  $C^1$ .*

E. Gutkin and A. Katok ([8]) mentions some examples of invariant circles and caustics.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section we prove Theorem 1. When  $\Omega(a)$ ,  $0 < a < 1$ , is an invariant circle  $f$ , we have already proved that  $f$  is of class  $C^1$ . Suppose  $\Omega(a)$  is not an invariant circle. Then,  $a$  is a rational number  $p/q$  where  $q$  and  $p$  are mutually prime integers. Let  $d_j$  ( resp.,  $e_j$  ) be a sequence of irrational numbers with  $d_j > a$  ( resp.,  $e_j < a$  ) converging to  $a$ . Then,  $\Omega(d_j)$  and  $\Omega(e_j)$  converge to subsets  $G_b$  and  $G_t$  contained in  $\Omega(a)$  which are invariant circles. More precisely,  $G_b \cup G_t$  is the boundary of  $\Omega(a)$  and the configurations  $s(\bar{x})$  in  $\mathbf{X}$  corresponding to  $\bar{x} \in G_b \cap G_t$  are periodic straight lines with period  $(q, p)$ . Lemma 4 completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Y. Amiran. Integrable smooth planar billiards and evolutes. New York J. Math., 3 (1997), 32-47.
- [2] V. Bangert. Mather sets for twist maps and geodesics on tori, In U. Kirchgraber, H. O. Walther (eds.) Dynamics Reported, Vol 1, pp.1-56, John Wiley & Sons and B. G. Teubner, 1988.
- [3] V. Bangert. Geodesic rays, Busemann functions and monotone twist maps, Calc. Var., Vol 2, 49–63 (1994).
- [4] M. Bialy. Convex billiards and a theorem of E. Hopf. Math. Z., 214 (1993) 147-154.
- [5] G. D. Birkhoff. Surface translations and their dynamical applications, Acta Math., Vol 43, 1–119 (1922).
- [6] H. Busemann. The geometry of geodesics, Academic Press, New York, 1955.
- [7] L. Green. A theorem of E. Hopf, Michigan Math. J., Vol 5, 31–34 (1958).

- [8] E. Gutkin and A. Katok. Caustics for inner and outer billiards, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, Vol 173, 101–133 (1995).
- [9] E. Hopf. Closed surfaces without conjugate points, *Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 34 (1948) 47-51.
- [10] N. Inami. Integral formulas for polyhedral and spherical billiards, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 50,2 (1998) 339-357.
- [11] N. Inami. Geometry of geodesics for convex billiards and circular billiards. *Nihonkai Math. J.* 13,1 (2002) 73–120.
- [12] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
- [13] M. P. Wojtkowski. Two applications of Jacobi fields to the billiard ball problems, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 40 (1994) 155-164.